



#### Introduction

- Nitrogen (N) deposition has resulted in the loss of mycorrhizal fungi in diverse sites around the globe.
- Nitrogen (N) enrichment inhibits mycorrhiza formation with fungi specialized in N acquisition, leading to a decline of the symbiosis<sup>1</sup>. The loss of mycorrhizae in peatlands may result in higher rates of decomposition due to the reduction in competition with saprotrophic microbes<sup>2,3,4</sup>.
- In order to assess potential repercussions of mycorrhizal decline due to N deposition, I experimentally excluded the networks of mycorrhizal fungi while simultaneously adding N in two pristine boreal bogs in Alberta Canada that differ in age, as determined by the most recent fire.
- *Picea mariana* seedlings were planted in native peat cores and grown *in-situ* from June 2012 through September 2013. Mycorrhizal colonization responses to N fertilization were assessed for peat cores excluded from, and peat cores exposed to, the ingrowth of the mycorrhizal network.
- The potential for mycorrhizal fungi to inhibit saprotrophic decomposition, commonly known as the "Gadgil effect," was assessed in this mycorrhizal exclusion experiment in terms of mass loss from peat incubated *in-situ* for two seasons with variable connection to the native bog mycorrhizal network.



Study sites are pristine bogs located in Alberta, Canada; one near Mariana Lake (55° 57' N, 112° 1' W) the other near Utikuma Lake (55° 59' N, 115° 11' W). Mean annual precipitation in Alberta is less than 450 mm. Plots (five replicates, each 3 X 2 m) were set up in the summer of 2012. Sites vary in age, Mariana Lake site, approximately 60-100 years since fire and a Utikuma Lake recently burned was established one year post-fire. Both sites have background deposition of 1.1 to 2.6 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> (Vile and Wieder, unpublished data).

# **Mycorrhizal Networks Inhibit Decomposition in Boreal Bogs**

#### Nathan R. Thorp<sup>1,2</sup>, R. Kelman Wieder<sup>1</sup>, & Melanie A. Vile<sup>1</sup> <sup>1</sup>Department of Biology, Villanova University <sup>2</sup>Earth Systems Research Center, University of New Hampshire





- Each MycIn and MycEx tube (n=5) contained a litter bag at the 10-20 cm depth.
- Litter bags consisted of native *Sphagnum* peat (+ vascular roots) obtained from each bog at the same 10-20 cm depth.
- Homogenized litter was oven-dried to constant mass at 60 °C, approximately 5 g (pre-weighed dry peat) was then placed into nylon mesh bags.
- Nitrogen was added at two rates (0 and 20 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup>yr<sup>-1</sup>).
- Mycorrhizal colonization was assessed by ectomycorrhizal morphotype according to Agerer<sup>5</sup> (2006). • Moss associated diazotrophic  $N_2$  fixation was estimated using acetylene reduction assay.

### **Biological Nitrogen Fixation**



network in-growth (MycIn) plots (n=5) estimated with acetylene reduction assay at the end of 2 years *in-situ* incubation. Bars that do not share a letter are significantly different bsed on Tukey's HSD a posteriori test after full factorial ANOVA with MycEx/MycIn, nitrogen (N) addition and tree presence as factors. There was an interaction (A.) for N treatment x MycEx/MycIn (p=0.0414) and an interaction (B.) for N treatment x tree presence (p=0.0216).



# **Decomposition (% Mass Loss) from Peat in Mycorrhizal Network Exclusion / Nitrogen Addition**



Bars represent % mass loss over 1 and 2 seasons for peat litter (n=5) collected at 10-20 cm depth (including roots) from each of two sites varying in age since fire and fertilized at two levels of N addition (0 and 20 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>). Litter bags were housed in mycorrhizal exclusion (MycEx) and mycorrhizal network in-growth (MycIn) tubes and set out for 1 and 2 years in-situ incubation. Error bars are standard error. Bars that do have an asterisk are significantly different from those that do not based on Tukey's HSD a posteriori test after factorial ANOVA with MycEx/MycIn, nitrogen (N) addition and year as factors. Tubes with trees and tubes with only moss were analyzed separately. Interactions are indicated above.



## Methods



• PVC tubes were wrapped in 1µm nylon filter fabric or window screening to exclude (MycEx) or allow in-growth (MycIn) of the mycorrhizal network

• Litter bags were incubated in-situ in both the Utikuma (burnt bog) and the Mariana (mature) bog beginning July 2012 and recovered in October of 2012 and September of 2013.

# **Morphotype Diversity**



Proportion of trees with the given morphotype<sup>5</sup> (n = 4) from Utikuma nitrogen addition plots. Bars represent mean  $\pm$  standard error. Bars that do not share a letter are significantly different based on Tukey's HSD a posteriori test after a one way ANOVA. Nitrogen was added at four rates (0 (water only), 10, and 20 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> and control (C). There was no significant effect of nitrogen treatment for "black" type (p = 0.055). There were significant effects for "yellow" and "tan" (p < 0.0001)



Legend - Barrier MycIn

Mycorrhizal network

in-growth



Mycorrhizal network exclusion







#### Conclusions

- Results support the hypothesis that mycorrhizal fungi play an important role in both C and N cycling in boreal bogs.
- Increased decomposition resulting from the complete loss of ErM and EcM functionality in peatlands could have a substantial effect on greenhouse gas emissions from these vast stores of organic soils.
- Mycorrhizal fungi are sensitive to N inputs and I show that certain types will decline given high rates of N deposition.
- The nature of the relationship between mycorrhizal fungi and moss associated diazotrophs is still unknown,<sup>6,7</sup> but we do show stimulation of biological N<sub>2</sub>-fixation activities due to presence of P. mariana seedlings. Further research should be done to determine the nature of this relationship.

#### References

- <sup>1</sup>Cox, F., N. Barsoum, E. a Lilleskov, and M. I. Bidartondo. 2010. Nitrogen availability is a primary determinant of conifer mycorrhizas across complex environmental gradients. Ecology Letters 13:1103-
- <sup>2</sup>Gadgil, R. L., & Gadgil, P. D. (1971). Mycorrhiza and litter decomposition. Nature 233, 133.
- <sup>3</sup>Read, D. J., Leake, J. R., & Perez-Moreno, J. (2004). Mycorrhizal fungi as drivers of ecosystem processes in heathland and boreal forest biomes. Canadian Journal of Botany, 82(8), 1243-1263.
- <sup>4</sup>Averill, C., Turner, B. L., & Finzi, A. C. (2014). Mycorrhiza-mediated competition between plants and decomposers drives soil carbon storage. Nature, 505(7484), 543-545.
- <sup>5</sup>Agerer, R. 2006. Fungal relationships and structural identity of their ectomycorrhizae. Mycological Progress 5:67–107.
- <sup>6</sup>Glime, Janice M. 2007. Bryophyte Ecology. Volume 1. Physiological Ecology. E-book sponsored by Michigan Technological University and the International Association of Bryologists. accessed on 15 October 2012 at <a href="http://www.bryoecol.mtu.edu/">http://www.bryoecol.mtu.edu/</a>>.
- <sup>7</sup>Pressel, S., M. Bidartondo, R. Ligrone, and J. Duckett. 2010. Fungal symbioses in bryophytes: New insights in the twenty first century. Phytotaxa 253:238–253.

# Acknowledgements

I would like to thank Dr. John Dighton for his invaluable input into all things mycorrhizal, Dr. Erik Hobbie for insight and support, and NSF, WBEA, and CEMA funding agencies, and the Biology Department at Villanova University.

I would also like to thank all of the students and lab technicians in the R. Kelman Wieder and Melanie A. Vile labs for all their help both in the lab and in the field; hauling lumber, water and equipment out into the bog to make this project possible.