#### Dissolved organic carbon uptake in streams: A review and assessment of reach-scale measurements Madeleine Mineau<sup>1</sup>, Wilfred Wollheim<sup>1</sup>, Ishi Buffam<sup>2</sup>, Stuart Findlay<sup>3</sup>, Robert Hall<sup>4</sup>, Erin Hotchkiss<sup>5</sup>, **New Hampshire** Lauren Koenig<sup>1</sup>, William McDowell<sup>1</sup>, and Thomas Parr<sup>6</sup> 1. UNH, 2. U of Cincinnati, 3. Cary IES, 4. U Wyoming, 5. Umea U, 6. U Delaware



# Introduction

Quantifying the role of freshwater ecosystems in large scale carbon cycling requires accurate measurement and scaling of dissolved organic carbon (DOC) processing in river networks. Despite this need for large-scale assessment, most measurements of DOC processing are made at the To scale uptake velocity to whole river networks, scale of bottles or, at most, stream reaches.

We reviewed reach-scale measurements, evaluated potential drivers of DOC uptake in streams, and assessed the scalability of reachscale measurements to whole river networks.

We conducted a literature review of reach-scale DOC uptake measurements and bioassays to compare ambient stream water DOC and leaf leachate DOC bioavailability.

we used the Framework for Aquatic Ecosystem Modeling of the Earth System (FrAMES) to model hydrology and DOC inputs, removal, and in-stream concentration.

Figure 1. DOC uptake velocity  $(v_f)$  from reach-scale additions of simple compounds and leachates





Ambient DOC	k Leaf leachate DOC k	Ambient k:leachat
day <sup>-1</sup>	day <sup>-1</sup>	
0.011	0.086	0.128
0.009	0.085	0.106
0.104	0.363	0.287
0.010	0.018	0.556
0.013	0.033	0.394
0.014	0.026	0.538
0.229	0.020	0.234
7 602	62 807	0.234
0.050	02.007	0.125
0.051	0.245	0.200
	iviedian	0.234

Methods

Median uptake velocity was faster for simple compounds (2.94 mm min<sup>-1</sup>) than for leachates  $(1.11 \text{ mm min}^{-1}, \text{ Figure 1}).$ 

The median ratio of ambient DOC k to leaf leachates DOC k in reviewed bioassays is 0.234 (Table 1). Scaling leaf leachate  $v_f$  with this bioavailability ratio, we estimate an ambient DOC  $v_f$ of 0.26 mm min-1.

DOC vf was negatively correlated with ambient DOC concentration, SRP concentration, mean annual temperature, and mean annual precipitation (Figure 2). 45%

Continental Scale Models" workshop for stimulating conversations on this topic. We also thank Robert Stewart for assistance with the modeling.

# Results

Figure 3. Scaling reach-scale DOC  $v_f$  to whole river networks. Panel A shows DOC runoff concentration necessary to generate mean annual DOC concentration at basin mouth for a given DOC  $v_f$ . Panel B shows watershed DOC yield necessary to generate mean annual DOC concentration at basin mouth for a given DOC  $v_f$ . Reference lines are shown for typical forested headwater stream DOC and organic soil water DOC, and estimate of forest floor leaching from Hubbard Brook.



### Results

When scaled to whole river networks in NE USA, the bioavailability scaled stream water DOC  $v_f$ resulted in plausible DOC runoff concentration and watershed yield (Figure 3). Leachate  $v_f$  may also be plausible, especially in hot spot or hot moment of DOC processing.

## Conclusion

At river network scale DOC  $v_f$  must be lower than reach-scale measurements but is still likely significant with the lowest  $v_f$  used in figure 3 still reducing in-stream DOC concentrations by 27 to

DOC uptake velocity mm min<sup>-1</sup>