



Ground-Based Signatures of Poleward-Moving Auroral Forms

Authors: Audrey Robison⁽¹⁾, Marc Lessard⁽¹⁾, Lasse Clausen⁽²⁾

(1) University of New Hampshire, (2) University of Oslo



Introductions:

- Poleward-Moving Auroral Forms (PMAFs) have been shown to be Alfvénic auroras generated by dayside magnetic reconnection at the magnetopause. Under Bz negative conditions this process can occur, sending particles, waves, and energy into the ionosphere.
- On the dayside, Shear Alfvén waves are generated by magnetic reconnection and can be an energy source for auroras [Gurram et. al, 2021]. Alfvén waves have a characteristic signature of field aligned current when measured by in-situ instruments and have been observed during PMAF events by instruments aboard several rockets.
- Shear Alfvén waves cannot accelerate electrons, they are converted into kinetic Alfvén waves which can [Lysak et. al, 2022]
- When the perpendicular wavelength of Alfvén waves becomes comparable to the electron inertial length, parallel electric fields form. [Stasiewicz et. al, 2000]




Figure 2: Interaction of the solar wind with the Earth's magnetic field [Dungey, 1961]

- Some Alfvén waves are trapped within a resonance cavity, the Ionospheric Alfvén Resonator (IAR) [Knudsen et. al, 1992] due to a cavity where Alfvén speed is abruptly decreased

$$V_A = \frac{B}{\sqrt{\mu_0 n m_i}}$$

- Phase mixing within the IAR can explain broadband electron acceleration [Lysak et. al, 2022]
- The interference of Alfvén waves in the cusp region leads to complex patterns in the resulting PMAF events.
- PMAF events prompt ion outflow, which has been shown to be correlated to neutral upwelling
- In this study, we link ground-based induction coil magnetometer signatures to PMAF's, based on the in-situ observations from three rockets.

$$\omega_{pe} = \sqrt{\frac{n e^2}{\epsilon_0 m_e}} \quad \lambda_e = \frac{c}{\omega_{pe}}$$




Figure 1: Representation of the motion of Alfvén waves down magnetic field lines on the nightside image credit: Austin Montelius, College of Liberal Arts and Sciences, University of Iowa.

Data:

- Data was gathered from the CREX 2, RENU 2, and SCIFER 2 missions. On three dates: January 18, 2008, December 13, 2015, and December 21, 2021, rockets were launched into ongoing PMAF events.
- Instruments aboard the RENU 2 and CREX 2 missions gathered electron data in situ throughout the flight.
- Data from Induction-coil magnetometers in Longyearbyen, Ny-Alesund, and Hornsund are used to relate wave activity measured on ground to in-situ data.
- We also demonstrate that the ground-based data from the cusp region (Hornsund, Sondrestrom, and Iqaluit) and one in the southern hemisphere (South Pole Station) can be used to estimate the duration of PMAF events on global scales




Figure 3: Map of the induction-coil magnetometers in the cusp region, stations in the southern hemisphere are in red font and marked with an X. All other stations are in the northern hemisphere.




Figure 4: Data collected from an induction-coil magnetometer located in Svalbard on the three rocket launch dates: Jan. 18, 2008; Dec. 13, 2015; and Dec. 21, 2021.




Figure 5: Electron Data from RENU 2 flight measuring energy on y-axis and time in seconds on x-axis in the time interval from 430 sec to 680 sec, color indicates intensity




Figure 6: Electron Data from CREX 2 flight measuring energy on y-axis and time in seconds on x-axis in the time interval from 600 sec to 800 sec, color indicates intensity

Data cont.:

- Data below was sourced from Hornsund, Sondrestrom (SDY), Iqaluit (IOA), and south pole (SPA) stations on Dec. 13, 2015. Between 6 am UT and about 16 UT, Bz conditions of the IMF were consistently negative, measured by the ACE satellite.
- As time progresses, the Earth turns, and three different stations at the same latitude passed through the cusp, as well as a south pole station
- The measurements from South Pole Station, along the conjugate field line connected to Sondrestrom are presented below.




Figure 7: The data above is a keogram of an All-Sky camera in Longyearbyen on the date of the RENU2 launch, Dec. 13, 2015. The rocket launched at 7:34:00 UT, during a period of PMAFs.




Figure 8: The data above shows the wave activity measured at four different stations during Bz negative conditions. The top four graphs in the left and right columns indicate measurements of wave activity by induction-coil magnetometers at the Hornsund, SDY, Iqaluit, and SPA stations on Dec. 13, 2015. The vertical red lines in first four graphs in the right column indicate position in the cusp in magnetic local time (MLT) as noted by the table in the bottom left. The graph in the bottom right indicates the Bz component of solar wind over time on the date. The red line is placed at 0 to divide positive and negative.

Data: RENU 3 Launch 11/23/2025

Data below comes from the RENU 3 launch on November 23, 2025 in Longyearbyen. During the launch EISCAT radar gathered data up to altitudes above 600 km. Additionally, a ground based magnetometer in Longyearbyen collected wave data throughout the launch. All-Sky camera data was taken throughout the launch as well.




Figure 9: All-Sky Camera data from Nov. 23, 2025 during the RENU3 launch. The purple line indicates flight path. left: 6300 m. Exp. 4000 ms. FoV: 75deg@250 km. right: 5577 m. Exp. 2000 ms. FoV: 75deg@150 km.




Figure 10: EISCAT radar data from Longyearbyen on Nov. 23, 2025 before and during the launch of the RENU3 rocket. The top panel indicates density, the next indicates electron temperature, then ion temperature, and the final panel indicates ion up flow/downflow speeds.




Figure 11: Data collected from the UNH induction-coil magnetometer on Nov. 23, 2025, the launch date of RENU3 in Longyearbyen, unlike the previous launches no P11B waves were detected, signals were band limited as well.

Results:

RENU2, CREX 2, and SCIFER 2 launch results:

- On each flight date, wave disturbances were observed which lasted through the duration of the PMAF event.
- Rocket data confirmed that the waves were Alfvénic on the three flight dates, since the electrons were observed to be traveling in the field-aligned direction.
- Wave signatures were P11B waves.

December 13, 2015 event:

- On Dec. 13, 2015, negative Bz conditions continued for 10 hours, with PMAFs being visible in Longyearbyen starting before 07:00 UT. Magnetometers located near the same magnetic latitude (i.e. the cusp region) scanned the event. As each station came to face the sun due to the rotation of the Earth, the magnetometers registered PMAF wave activity near the same approximate MLT, with each magnetometer providing similar readings when facing the sun.
- The observations show that nearly identical PMAF signatures also occurred in the southern hemisphere, at South Pole Station (nearby conjugate to Iqaluit). The levels of activity at all sites is comparable.
- This event provides evidence for our understanding of the magnetometer response to PMAF events. It also demonstrates the capability of placing the observations in a global context. For example, the set of observations show that this particular PMAF event persisted for at least 10 hours — presumably driving ion outflow and neutral upwelling the entire time.

RENU3 launch results:

- On Nov. 23, 2025, auroral arcs were observed above Longyearbyen in the cusp region. These were observed by an All-Sky Imager in Longyearbyen. as shown in Figure 9.
- EISCAT radar registered ion heating and upwelling and soft electron precipitation before and throughout the launch, shown in Figure 10.
- The induction-coil magnetometer signals received were not broadband. Thus, wave activity was not associated with this event. This is expected since there were no ongoing PMAFs.

Conclusions:

This poster shows ground- and space-based observations of PMAFs, identifying a classic P11B signature for these events. That same signature is then used to estimate the global extent of PMAF effects, presumably including ion outflow and neutral upwelling. We summarize as follows:

- Sounding rocket observations of 3 PMAF events were compared to ground-based magnetometer data in order to identify their ground-based signature. We determine that the Alfvén waves that drive the aurora produce the ground-based signatures of PMAFs. The implication is that a network of ground-based magnetometers support indirect observations of PMAFs.
- Extending the ground-based observations to include data from other sites near "cusp latitudes", we find that the PMAF persisted for at least 10 hours. Noting that PMAFs have been strongly linked to ion upflow and neutral upwelling, a reasonable conclusion is that this event provided 10 hours of both processes.
- Taking this work one step further, we also show (though only at a single station) comparable and simultaneous observations in the southern hemisphere

The results from the RENU 3 rocket launch show a different type of induction-magnetometer signal. The RENU3 rocket was launched into a dayside auroral arc in the cusp, but not a PMAF event as previous rockets were launched into. Yet, soft electron precipitation was observed, as well as ion upwelling. The magnetometer results indicate that these auroras, unlike PMAFs, were not prompted by wave activity. Further studies are necessary to reach a possible explanation for these results.

References:

• K. Stasiewicz, P.M. Bellan, C.C. Chaston, C. Kletzing, R. Lysak, J. Maggs, O. Pokhotelov, C. Seyler, P. Shukla, L. Stenflo, A. Streltsov, J.-E. Wahlund, Small scale Alfvénic structure in the aurora. *Space Sci. Rev.* 92, 423 (2000)

• R. Kataoka, C.C. Chaston, D. Knudsen, K.A. Lynch, R. Lysak, Y. Song, R. Rankin, K. Murase, T. Sakanoi, J. Semeter, T.-H. Watanabe, D. Whiter, Small-scale dynamic aurora. *Space Sci. Rev.* 217, 17 (2021). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11214-021-00796-w>

• D.J. Knudsen, M.C. Kelley, J.F. Vickrey, Alfvén waves in the auroral ionosphere: a numerical model compared with measurements. *J. Geophys. Res.* 97, 77 (1992)

• Gurram, H., Egedal, J., & Daughton, W. (2021). Shear Alfvén waves driven by magnetic reconnection as an energy source for the Aurora Borealis. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 48, e2021GL094201. <https://doi.org/10.1029/2021GL094201>

• Lysak, R.L. Kinetic Alfvén waves and auroral particle acceleration: a review. *Rev. Mod. Plasma Phys.* 7, 6 (2023). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s41614-022-00111-2>

• J. W. Dungey. Interplanetary Magnetic Field and the Auroral Zones. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 6(2):47–48, January 1961. Publisher: American Physical Society.

Acknowledgements:

This material is based upon work supported by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration under Grant/Contract/Agreements 80NSSC21K0972, 80NSSC18K0923 and NNX08AQ27G. The work is also supported by the National Science Foundation awards 2133897 and 2332428.